

Exercises – October 21st 2025

Exercise 1. We recall that the partial trace $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2} : B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ is the linear map whose action on product operators is $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(X_1 \otimes X_2) = \text{Tr}(X_2)X_1$ and is extended to $B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ by linearity.

1. Show that $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ satisfies

$$\forall X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2), Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_1), \text{Tr}(X(Y \otimes \text{I})) = \text{Tr}(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(X)Y).$$

2. Use the above observation to deduce that

$$\forall X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2), X \geq 0 \implies \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(X) \geq 0.$$

Exercise 2. Given a unit vector $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, we define its distance to the set of unit product vectors as

$$d(\varphi) = \min \{ \|\varphi - \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2\| : \chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathbb{C}^d, \|\chi_1\|, \|\chi_2\| = 1 \}.$$

What is the maximal value that $d(\varphi)$ can take? Show that it is attained iff φ is maximally entangled.

Exercise 3. Denote by $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ the canonical orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^d , and let $\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=1}^d e_i \otimes e_i$ be a maximally entangled unit vector in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. Show that, for all $X, Y \in B(\mathbb{C}^d)$, we have

$$\text{Tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|(X \otimes Y)) = \frac{1}{d} \text{Tr}(XY^T),$$

where Y^T denotes the transposition of Y , with respect to the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$.

Exercise 4. [PPT criterion for entanglement]

We recall that, given an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{d_1}\}$ of \mathcal{H}_1 , the transposition with respect to this basis is the linear map $T : B(\mathcal{H}_1) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ that acts as

$$T \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{d_1} X_{ij} |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_1} X_{ij} |e_j\rangle\langle e_i|.$$

We then define the partial transposition as the linear map $\Gamma : B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ that acts as the transposition on $B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and the identity on $B(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Concretely, it acts as

$$\Gamma \left(\sum_{i_1, j_1=1}^{d_1} \sum_{i_2, j_2=1}^{d_2} X_{i_1 j_1 i_2 j_2} |e_{i_1}\rangle\langle e_{j_1}| \otimes |f_{i_2}\rangle\langle f_{j_2}| \right) = \sum_{i_1, j_1=1}^{d_1} \sum_{i_2, j_2=1}^{d_2} X_{i_1 j_1 i_2 j_2} |e_{j_1}\rangle\langle e_{i_1}| \otimes |f_{i_2}\rangle\langle f_{j_2}|.$$

[Note: The (partial) transposition depends on the choice of basis, but the spectrum of the (partial) transposition of a matrix does not. One crucial difference between transposition and partial transposition, though, is that transposition preserves the spectrum while partial transposition generally does not.]

A state ρ on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ is called positive under partial transposition (PPT) if $\Gamma(\rho) \geq 0$.

1. Given a state ρ on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, show that if it is separable, then it is PPT.

[This fact is usually used in its contrapositive form, as a so-called entanglement criterion, namely: if ρ is not PPT, then it is guaranteed to be entangled.]

2. Given a pure state $\rho = |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|$ on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, show that it is separable iff it is PPT.

Exercise 5. [Flip operator]

We define the flip operator F on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ as the operator whose action on product vectors is $F(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \varphi_2 \otimes \varphi_1$ and is extended to $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ by linearity.

1. Given an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ of \mathbb{C}^d , write the expression of F in the orthonormal product basis $\{e_i \otimes e_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq d\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$.
2. What are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of F ?
3. Show that F satisfies, for all $X, Y \in B(\mathbb{C}^d)$,

$$\text{Tr}(F(X \otimes Y)) = \text{Tr}(XY).$$

Exercise 6. Denote by $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ the canonical orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^d , and let $\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=1}^d e_i \otimes e_i$ be a maximally entangled unit vector in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. Show that

$$\Gamma(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = \frac{1}{d}F,$$

where Γ is the partial transposition (as defined in Exercise 4) and F is the flip operator (as defined in Exercise 5).

Exercise 7. [Isotropic states]

Isotropic states on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ are states which are convex combinations of the maximally mixed state I/d^2 and the maximally entangled state $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$. They have the form

$$\rho_\alpha = \alpha|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| + (1-\alpha)\frac{I}{d^2}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Compute $\Gamma(\rho_\alpha)$ and show that ρ_α is PPT iff $\alpha \in \left[0, \frac{1}{d+1}\right]$.

[By Exercise 4, this guarantees that ρ_α is entangled for $\alpha > \frac{1}{d+1}$. In fact, it is also true that ρ_α is separable for $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{d+1}$. But this is harder to prove...]

Exercise 8. [Werner states]

The symmetric and anti-symmetric subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ are defined, respectively, as the $+1$ and -1 eigenspaces of the flip operator F on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, as defined in Exercise 5. We thus have

$$S_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d} = \{\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d : F\varphi = \varphi\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d} = \{\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d : F\varphi = -\varphi\}.$$

We denote by Π_S and Π_A the orthogonal projectors onto $S_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d}$ and $A_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d}$. Those can be written as

$$\Pi_S = \frac{1}{2}(I + F) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_A = \frac{1}{2}(I - F).$$

The symmetric and anti-symmetric states on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ are then defined as $\pi_S = \Pi_S / \text{Tr}(\Pi_S)$ and $\pi_A = \Pi_A / \text{Tr}(\Pi_A)$. Since $\dim(S_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d}) = d(d+1)/2$ and $\dim(A_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d}) = d(d-1)/2$, we have

$$\pi_S = \frac{2}{d(d+1)}(I + F) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_A = \frac{2}{d(d-1)}(I - F).$$

We can now define Werner states on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$: those are states which are convex combinations of the symmetric state π_S and the anti-symmetric state π_A . They have the form

$$\sigma_\lambda = \lambda\pi_S + (1-\lambda)\pi_A, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

Compute $\Gamma(\sigma_\lambda)$ and show that σ_λ is PPT iff $\lambda \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$.

[By Exercise 4, this guarantees that σ_λ is entangled for $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$. In fact, it is also true that σ_λ is separable for $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$. But this is harder to prove...]

Hints for exercises – October 21st 2025

Hints for exercise 1

1. Write X as a linear combination of product operators.
2. Use the following characterization of positive semi-definite operators: $X \geq 0$ iff for all $Y \geq 0$, $\text{Tr}(XY) \geq 0$.

Hints for exercise 2

Write $\|\varphi - \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2\|^2 = \langle \varphi - \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 | \varphi - \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \rangle$. Then, in order to study the quantity $\langle \varphi | \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \rangle$, write φ in its Schmidt decomposition.

Hints for exercise 4

1. Observe that the partial transposition of a product state $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$ is positive semi-definite.
2. Write φ in its Schmidt decomposition, and perform the partial transposition of ρ in the Schmidt basis.

Hints for exercise 5

1. What is the action of F on each vector $e_i \otimes e_j$?
2. Observe that $F^2 = \text{I}$.

Hints for exercise 7

Compute $\Gamma(\text{I})$ and $\Gamma(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$.

Hints for exercise 8

Re-write σ_λ as a linear combination of I and F . Then compute $\Gamma(\text{I})$ and $\Gamma(F)$.

Exercise 1

- 1) Let $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$, $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$. Write $X = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i X_1^{(i)} \otimes X_2^{(i)}$, for $X_1^{(i)} \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $X_2^{(i)} \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$.
 Then: $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \text{Tr}(X_2^{(i)}) X_1^{(i)}$. So: $\text{Tr}(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(X) Y) = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \text{Tr}(X_2^{(i)}) \text{Tr}(X_1^{(i)} Y)$
 And: $\text{Tr}(X(Y \otimes I)) = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \text{Tr}((X_1^{(i)} Y) \otimes (X_2^{(i)} I)) = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \text{Tr}(X_1^{(i)} Y) \text{Tr}(X_2^{(i)})$
- 2) See lecture notes (Proposition 2.1.8, point (3))

Exercise 2

Let $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ s.t. $\|\Psi\| = 1$.
 For all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{C}^d$ s.t. $\|x_1\| = \|x_2\| = 1$, we have:
 $\|\Psi - x_1 \otimes x_2\|^2 = \langle \Psi - x_1 \otimes x_2 | \Psi - x_1 \otimes x_2 \rangle = \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle + \langle x_1 \otimes x_2 | x_1 \otimes x_2 \rangle - \langle \Psi | x_1 \otimes x_2 \rangle - \langle x_1 \otimes x_2 | \Psi \rangle$
 $= \|\Psi\|^2 + \|x_1\|^2 \|x_2\|^2 - 2 \text{Re} \langle \Psi | x_1 \otimes x_2 \rangle = 2(1 - \text{Re} \langle \Psi | x_1 \otimes x_2 \rangle)$
 So minimizing $\|\Psi - x_1 \otimes x_2\|$ is equivalent to maximizing $\text{Re} \langle \Psi | x_1 \otimes x_2 \rangle$.
 Now write $\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} u_i \otimes v_i$ in its Schmidt decomposition. We thus have $\text{Re} \langle \Psi | x_1 \otimes x_2 \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} \text{Re} \langle u_i | x_1 \rangle \langle v_i | x_2 \rangle$.
 Hence by Hölder inequality: $\text{Re} \langle \Psi | x_1 \otimes x_2 \rangle \leq (\max_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sqrt{\lambda_i}) \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |\text{Re} \langle u_i | x_1 \rangle \langle v_i | x_2 \rangle| \right) \leq (\max_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sqrt{\lambda_i}) \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |\langle u_i | x_1 \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |\langle v_i | x_2 \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2}$
 So: $\text{Re} \langle \Psi | x_1 \otimes x_2 \rangle \leq \sqrt{\lambda_1}$, with equality iff $x_1 = u_1, x_2 = v_1$.
 And therefore: $d(\Psi) = \sqrt{2(1 - \sqrt{\lambda_1})} \leq \sqrt{2(1 - \frac{1}{d})}$, with equality iff Ψ is maximally entangled.

Exercise 3

$= |e_i \otimes e_j| \otimes |e_i \otimes e_j|$
 We have: $|\Psi \otimes \Psi| = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j=1}^d |e_i \otimes e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_j|$. So for all $X, Y \in B(\mathbb{C}^d)$, we have:
 $\text{Tr}(|\Psi \otimes \Psi| (X \otimes Y)) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \text{Tr}(|e_i \otimes e_i| X) \otimes (|e_j \otimes e_j| Y) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j=1}^d X_{ii} Y_{jj}$ and $\text{Tr}(X Y^T) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d X_{ij} (Y^T)_{ji} = \sum_{i,j=1}^d X_{ij} Y_{ij}$.
 $= \text{Tr}(|e_i \otimes e_i| X) \text{Tr}(|e_j \otimes e_j| Y)$
 $= \langle e_j | X | e_i \otimes e_j | Y | e_i \rangle$

Exercise 4

See lecture notes (Theorems 2.3.10 and 2.3.11)

Exercise 5

- 1) $\forall 1 \leq i, j \leq d$, $F(e_i \otimes e_j) = e_j \otimes e_i$. So $F = \sum_{i,j=1}^d |e_i \otimes e_j \rangle \langle e_j \otimes e_i|$
- 2) It is easy to see that $F^2 = I$. (Indeed, for any $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, writing $\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \Psi_1^{(i)} \otimes \Psi_2^{(i)}$, we have:
 $F^2 \Psi = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \Psi_2^{(i)} \otimes \Psi_1^{(i)}$ and $F^2 \Psi = F(F\Psi) = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \Psi_1^{(i)} \otimes \Psi_2^{(i)} = \Psi$.
 So the eigenvalues of F are $+1$ and -1 .
 Suppose that $\Psi = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \Psi_{ij} e_i \otimes e_j$ is s.t. $F\Psi = \Psi$ i.e. $\sum_{i,j=1}^d \Psi_{ij} e_j \otimes e_i = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \Psi_{ij} e_i \otimes e_j$.
 Then: $\forall 1 \leq i, j \leq d$, $\Psi_{ji} = \Psi_{ij}$. So $\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^d \Psi_{ii} e_i \otimes e_i + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \Psi_{ij} (e_i \otimes e_j + e_j \otimes e_i)$
 Similarly, if Ψ is s.t. $F\Psi = -\Psi$, then $\forall 1 \leq i, j \leq d$, $\Psi_{ji} = -\Psi_{ij}$. So $\Psi = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \Psi_{ij} (e_i \otimes e_j - e_j \otimes e_i)$
 Conclusion: The $+1$ eigenspace of F is $\text{span}(\{e_i \otimes e_i, 1 \leq i \leq d\} \cup \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_i \otimes e_j + e_j \otimes e_i), 1 \leq i < j \leq d\}) \rightarrow \text{dimension } \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$
 " -1 " " " $\text{span}(\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_i \otimes e_j - e_j \otimes e_i), 1 \leq i < j \leq d\}) \rightarrow \text{dimension } \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$
- 3) $\forall X, Y \in B(\mathbb{C}^d)$, $\text{Tr}(F(X \otimes Y)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \text{Tr}(|e_i \otimes e_j| X) \text{Tr}(|e_j \otimes e_i| Y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d X_{ij} Y_{ji} = \text{Tr}(XY)$

Exercise 6

$$\Gamma(|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \Gamma(|e_i\rangle\langle e_j| \otimes |e_i\rangle\langle e_j|) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j=1}^d |e_j\rangle\langle e_i| \otimes |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| = \frac{1}{d} F.$$

$$= \Gamma(|e_i\rangle\langle e_j|) \otimes |e_i\rangle\langle e_j|$$

Exercise 7

We have $\Gamma(|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|) = \frac{1}{d} F$ and $\Gamma(I) = I$. So $\Gamma(\rho_\alpha) = \alpha \frac{F}{d} + (1-\alpha) \frac{I}{d^2}$.

Hence, the eigenvalues of $\Gamma(\rho_\alpha)$ are $\lambda_1 = \frac{1-\alpha}{d^2} + \frac{\alpha}{d}$ (with multiplicity $\frac{d(d+1)}{2}$) and $\lambda_2 = \frac{1-\alpha}{d^2} - \frac{\alpha}{d}$ (with multiplicity $\frac{d(d-1)}{2}$).

$\lambda_1 \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $\lambda_2 \geq 0$ iff $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{d+1}$.

So ρ_α is PPT iff $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{d+1}$.

Exercise 8

First observe that: $\nabla_\lambda = \frac{2\lambda}{d(d+1)} (I+F) + \frac{2(1-\lambda)}{d(d-1)} (I-F) = \frac{2}{d(d^2-1)} ((d+1-2\lambda)I + ((2\lambda-1)d-1)F)$

Next we have $\Gamma(F) = d|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$ and $\Gamma(I) = I$. So $\Gamma(\nabla_\lambda) = \frac{2}{d(d^2-1)} ((d+1-2\lambda)I + d((2\lambda-1)d-1)|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)$

$$= X_\lambda = \frac{2}{d(d^2-1)} ((d+1-2\lambda)I + d((2\lambda-1)d-1)|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)$$

Hence, the eigenvalues of X_λ are $\lambda_1 = d+1-2\lambda$ (with multiplicity d^2-1) and $\lambda_2 = (d+1-2\lambda) + d((2\lambda-1)d-1)$ (with multiplicity 1).

$$= (2\lambda-1)(d^2-1)$$

$\lambda_1 \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $\lambda_2 \geq 0$ iff $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

So ∇_λ is PPT iff $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Exercises – November 5th 2025

Exercise 1. Find the unital completely positive linear maps that are the dual of the following trace-preserving completely positive linear maps:

1. $\Phi : X \in B(\mathcal{H}) \mapsto \text{Tr}(X)\sigma \in B(\mathcal{H})$, where $\sigma \in D(\mathcal{H})$.
2. $\Phi : X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(X) \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$.
3. $\Phi : X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1) \mapsto X \otimes \sigma \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$, where $\sigma \in D(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

Exercise 2. Define Π_λ as the depolarizing channel with parameter $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ on $\mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$, i.e.

$$\Pi_\lambda : X \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \lambda X + (1 - \lambda) \text{Tr}(X) \frac{I}{d} \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}).$$

Show that the Choi matrix of Π_λ is $C(\Pi_\lambda) = d\rho_\lambda$, where ρ_λ is the so-called isotropic state with parameter $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, i.e.

$$\rho_\lambda = \lambda |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| + (1 - \lambda) \frac{I}{d^2},$$

with $\psi \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ the maximally entangled unit vector.

Exercise 3. Let ρ be a state on \mathbb{C}^d and define the quantum channel Π_ρ on $\mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$ as

$$\Pi_\rho : X \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \text{Tr}(X)\rho \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}).$$

1. Compute $C(\Pi_\rho)$, the Choi matrix of Π_ρ .
2. Deduce from that what is the Kraus rank of Π_ρ .

Exercise 4. Define the fully dephasing channel Δ on $\mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$ and the fully depolarizing channel Π on $\mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$ as

$$\Delta : X \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^d \langle e_i | X | e_i \rangle | e_i \rangle \langle e_i | \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi : X \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \text{Tr}(X) \frac{I}{d} \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^d .

1. Write Kraus decompositions of Δ and Π in terms of Kraus operators of the form $E_{ij} = |e_i\rangle\langle e_j|$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$.
2. Define the unitary operators U and V on \mathbb{C}^d as

$$U = \sum_{j=1}^d \omega^j |e_j\rangle\langle e_j|, \text{ where } \omega = e^{2i\pi/d} \quad \text{and} \quad V = \sum_{j=1}^d |e_{j+1}\rangle\langle e_j|, \text{ where by convention } e_{d+1} = e_1.$$

Show that Δ and Π have the following Kraus decompositions

$$\Delta : X \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \frac{1}{d} \sum_{p=1}^d U^p X U^{*p} \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi : X \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \mapsto \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{p,q=1}^d U^p V^q X V^{*q} U^{*p} \in \mathcal{M}_d(\mathbb{C}).$$

[This proves that Δ and Π are in fact mixtures of unitary channels.]

Exercise 5. Let $\Phi : B(\mathcal{H}_1) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ and $\Psi : B(\mathcal{H}'_1) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}'_2)$ be linear maps. Show that, if Φ and Ψ are completely positive, then so are $\Psi \circ \Phi : B(\mathcal{H}_1) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}'_2)$ (assuming that $\mathcal{H}'_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$, so that the composition is well-defined) and $\Phi \otimes \Psi : B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}'_1) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}'_2)$.

Exercise 6. Let $\Phi : B(\mathcal{H}_1) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ and $\Psi : B(\mathcal{K}_1) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}_2)$ be quantum channels. Show that, if one of them is entanglement-breaking, then, for any state ρ on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}_1$, $\Phi \otimes \Psi(\rho)$ is a separable state on $\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}_2$.

Exercise 7.

1. Let $\Phi : B(\mathcal{H}_1) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ be a linear map that preserves Hermiticity (i.e. for all $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$, if $X^* = X$ then $\Phi(X)^* = \Phi(X)$). We admit that this is equivalent to $C(\Phi) \in B(\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1)$ being Hermitian. Show that Φ can be written as the difference of two completely positive linear maps.
2. Let $\Phi : B(\mathcal{H}_1) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ be a linear map. Show that Φ can be written as the linear combination of four completely positive linear maps.

Hints for exercises – November 5th 2025

Hints for exercise 1

Start from the duality relation, for all X, Y , $\text{Tr}(X\Phi^*(Y)) = \text{Tr}(\Phi(X)Y)$. Then, for question (1) take $X = |e_i\rangle\langle e_j|$, $1 \leq i, j \leq \dim(\mathcal{H})$. While for questions (2) and (3) rewrite $\text{Tr}(\Phi(X)Y)$ as $\text{Tr}(XZ_{Y,\Phi})$.

Hints for exercise 2

Fix $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^d and write the expressions of $C(\Pi_\lambda)$ and ρ_λ in the product orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ $\{e_i \otimes e_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq d\}$.

Hints for exercise 3

For question (2), recall that the Kraus rank of Π_ρ is the rank of $C(\Pi_\rho)$.

Hints for exercise 4

1. For Π , write the trace as a contraction with some E_{ij} 's and the identity as a sum of some E_{ij} 's.
2. First, write the expression of $U^p, V^q, U^p V^q$. Second, given $n \in \{-d, -(d-1), \dots, d-1, d\} \setminus \{0\}$, what is the value of $\sum_{p=1}^d e^{2i\pi np/d}$?

Hints for exercise 5

For $\Phi \otimes \Psi$, observe that it can be written as $(\text{id} \otimes \Psi) \circ (\Phi \otimes \text{id})$.

Hints for exercise 6

First observe that $\Phi \otimes \Psi = (\text{id} \otimes \Psi) \circ (\Phi \otimes \text{id}) = (\Phi \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \Psi)$. And second observe that, for any quantum channel Θ and any separable state σ , $\Theta \otimes \text{id}(\sigma)$ is a separable state.

Hints for exercise 7

1. Observe that any Hermitian matrix C can be written as the difference of two positive semidefinite matrices: $C = C^+ - C^-$, where $C^+, C^- \geq 0$.
2. Observe that any matrix C can be written as the linear combination of two Hermitian matrices: $C = C_R + iC_I$, where $C_R^* = C_R, C_I^* = C_I$.

Exercise 1

$$1) \forall X, Y \in B(\mathcal{H}), \text{Tr}(X \Phi^*(Y)) = \text{Tr}(\Phi(X)Y) = \text{Tr}(X) \text{Tr}(\sigma Y)$$

Taking $X = |e_i\rangle\langle e_j|$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, we get: $\forall Y \in B(\mathcal{H}), \langle e_j | \Phi^*(Y) | e_i \rangle = \langle e_j | e_i \rangle \text{Tr}(\sigma Y)$

$$\text{Hence: } \forall Y \in B(\mathcal{H}), \Phi^*(Y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \langle e_j | \Phi^*(Y) | e_i \rangle |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| = \sum_{i=1}^d \text{Tr}(\sigma Y) |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| = \text{Tr}(\sigma Y) I$$

$$2) \forall X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2), Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_1), \text{Tr}(X \Phi^*(Y)) = \text{Tr}(\Phi(X)Y) = \text{Tr}(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}(X)Y) = \text{Tr}(X(Y \otimes I))$$

$$\text{Hence: } \forall Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_1), \Phi^*(Y) = Y \otimes I$$

$$3) \forall X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1), Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2), \text{Tr}(X \Phi^*(Y)) = \text{Tr}(\Phi(X)Y) = \text{Tr}((X \otimes \sigma)Y) = \text{Tr}((X \otimes I)(I \otimes \sigma)Y) \\ = \text{Tr}(X \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}((I \otimes \sigma)Y))$$

$$\text{Hence: } \forall Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2), \Phi^*(Y) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_2}((I \otimes \sigma)Y)$$

Exercise 2

$$C(\Pi_\lambda) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \Pi_\lambda(|e_i\rangle\langle e_j|) \otimes |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \left(\lambda |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| + (1-\lambda) \langle e_j | e_i \rangle \frac{I}{d} \right) \otimes |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| \\ = \lambda \sum_{i,j=1}^d |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| \otimes |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| + (1-\lambda) \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{I}{d} \otimes |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| = \lambda d |\Psi_X\rangle\langle\Psi_X| + (1-\lambda) \frac{I}{d} \otimes I = d \left(\lambda |\Psi_X\rangle\langle\Psi_X| + (1-\lambda) \frac{I}{d^2} \right)$$

$$\text{Hence: } C(\Pi_\lambda) = d \rho_\lambda$$

Exercise 3

$$1) C(\Pi_e) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \Pi_e(|e_i\rangle\langle e_j|) \otimes |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| = \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\langle e_j | e_i \rangle e) \otimes |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| = \sum_{i,j=1}^d e \otimes |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| = e \otimes I$$

$$2) \text{The Kraus rank of } \Pi_e \text{ is the rank of } C(\Pi_e) = e \otimes I, \text{ i.e. } \text{rank}(e) \times \text{rank}(I) = \text{rank}(e) \times d.$$

Exercise 4

$$1) \Delta(X) = \sum_{i=1}^d |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| X |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| = \sum_{i=1}^d E_{ii} X E_{ii}^*$$

$$\Pi(X) = \sum_{j=1}^d \langle e_j | X | e_j \rangle \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{d} |e_i\rangle\langle e_i| = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j=1}^d |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| X |e_j\rangle\langle e_i| = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j=1}^d E_{ij} X E_{ij}^*$$

$$2) \text{First observe that: } \forall 1 \leq p \leq d, U^p = \sum_{j=1}^d \omega^{jp} |e_j\rangle\langle e_j|$$

$$\text{Hence: } \frac{1}{d} \sum_{p=1}^d U^p X U^{*p} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{p=1}^d \sum_{j,k=1}^d \omega^{jp} \bar{\omega}^{kp} |e_j\rangle\langle e_j| X |e_k\rangle\langle e_k| = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j,k=1}^d \left(\sum_{p=1}^d \omega^{jp} \bar{\omega}^{kp} \right) |e_j\rangle\langle e_j| X |e_k\rangle\langle e_k|$$

$$\text{Now, } \omega^{jp} \bar{\omega}^{kp} = e^{2i\pi(j-k)p/d}. \text{ So } \sum_{p=1}^d \omega^{jp} \bar{\omega}^{kp} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq j \\ d & \text{if } k = j \end{cases}$$

$$\text{And thus: } \frac{1}{d} \sum_{p=1}^d U^p X U^{*p} = \sum_{j=1}^d |e_j\rangle\langle e_j| X |e_j\rangle\langle e_j| = \Delta(X)$$

$$\text{Observe next that: } \forall 1 \leq q \leq d, V^q = \sum_{j=1}^d |e_{j+q}\rangle\langle e_j|. \text{ So } \forall 1 \leq p, q \leq d, U^p V^q = \sum_{j=1}^d \omega^{jp} |e_j\rangle\langle e_{j-q}|$$

$$\text{Hence: } \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{p,q=1}^d U^p V^q X V^{*q} U^{*p} = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{p,q=1}^d \sum_{j,k=1}^d \omega^{jp} \bar{\omega}^{kp} |e_j\rangle\langle e_{j-q}| X |e_{k-q}\rangle\langle e_k|$$

$$\text{Now, as before: } \sum_{p=1}^d \omega^{jp} \bar{\omega}^{kp} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq j \\ d & \text{if } k = j \end{cases}$$

$$\text{And thus: } \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{p,q=1}^d U^p V^q X V^{*q} U^{*p} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{q=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d |e_j\rangle\langle e_{j-q}| X |e_{j-q}\rangle\langle e_j| = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j,k=1}^d |e_j\rangle\langle e_k| X |e_k\rangle\langle e_j| = \Pi(X)$$

↑
change of variable $k = j - q$

Exercise 5

For any Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $(\Psi \circ \Phi) \otimes \text{id} : B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H})$ can be written as $(\Psi \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\Phi \otimes \text{id})$.

Hence, for all $X \geq 0$, $(\Psi \circ \Phi) \otimes \text{id}(X) = \Psi \otimes \text{id}(\underbrace{\Phi \otimes \text{id}(X)}_{\geq 0 \text{ because } \Phi \text{ CP}}) \geq 0$. So $\Psi \circ \Phi$ is CP

≥ 0 because Ψ CP

Observe that $\Phi \otimes \Psi = (\text{id} \otimes \Psi) \circ (\Phi \otimes \text{id})$.

So for any Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $\Phi \otimes \Psi \otimes \text{id} : B(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1' \otimes \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2' \otimes \mathcal{H})$ can be written as $(\text{id} \otimes \Psi \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\Phi \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})$

Hence, for all $X \geq 0$, $\Phi \otimes \Psi \otimes \text{id}(X) = \text{id} \otimes \Psi \otimes \text{id}(\underbrace{\Phi \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}(X)}_{\geq 0 \text{ because } \Phi \text{ CP}})$. So $\Phi \otimes \Psi$ is CP

≥ 0 because Ψ CP

Exercise 6

Observe that $\Phi \otimes \Psi = (\text{id} \otimes \Psi) \circ (\Phi \otimes \text{id}) = (\Phi \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \Psi)$

Suppose that Φ is entanglement breaking. Then for any $\rho \in D(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1)$, $\Phi \otimes \text{id}(\rho) \in S(\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1)$, and thus $\text{id} \otimes \Psi(\Phi \otimes \text{id}(\rho)) \in S(\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$.

Similarly, if Ψ is entanglement-breaking, then for any $\rho \in D(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1)$, $\text{id} \otimes \Psi(\rho) \in S(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$, and thus $\Phi \otimes \text{id}(\text{id} \otimes \Psi(\rho)) \in S(\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$

[We also used the fact that if Φ is CP (in fact even just positive) and TP, then, for any separable state σ ,

$\Phi \otimes \text{id}(\sigma)$ is a separable state. Indeed: $\Phi \otimes \text{id}(\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \sigma_1^{(i)} \otimes \sigma_2^{(i)}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \underbrace{\Phi(\sigma_1^{(i)})}_{\text{state}} \otimes \sigma_2^{(i)}$]

Exercise 7

1) Since $C(\Phi)$ is Hermitian, it can be written as the difference of two positive semidefinite operators: $C(\Phi) = C^+ - C^-$,

where $C^+ \geq 0$, $C^- \geq 0$. (Indeed: $C(\Phi) = \sum_i \lambda_i |u_i \chi u_i\rangle$ and $C^+ = \sum_{i \text{ s.t. } \lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i |u_i \chi u_i\rangle$, $C^- = \sum_{i \text{ s.t. } \lambda_i < 0} -\lambda_i |u_i \chi u_i\rangle$)

Since $C^+, C^- \geq 0$, there exist CP linear maps Φ^+, Φ^- s.t. $C^+ = C(\Phi^+)$, $C^- = C(\Phi^-)$.

By linearity, we have $\Phi = \Phi^+ - \Phi^-$.

2) Write $C(\Phi) = C_R + i C_I$, where $C_R = \frac{C + C^*}{2}$, $C_I = -i \frac{C - C^*}{2}$ are Hermitian.

Hence $C_R = C_R^+ - C_R^-$ and $C_I = C_I^+ - C_I^-$, where $C_R^+, C_R^-, C_I^+, C_I^- \geq 0$

So there exist CP linear maps $\Phi_R^+, \Phi_R^-, \Phi_I^+, \Phi_I^-$ s.t. $C_R^+ = C(\Phi_R^+)$, $C_R^- = C(\Phi_R^-)$, $C_I^+ = C(\Phi_I^+)$, $C_I^- = C(\Phi_I^-)$.

And by linearity, we have $\Phi = \Phi_R^+ - \Phi_R^- + i \Phi_I^+ - i \Phi_I^-$